<i>p</i> 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/421,808	TADAYON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin H Pollack	2141
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Melvin H Pollack (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) <u>Sarah Abraham</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2004</u>	Time: <u>2:10 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner called to determine status of case. Attorneys stated to there is no intent to respond to the last office action at this time.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate 	ne examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appears ir	Part II above.
	. —	hulnen
	· <u> </u>	LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)